App. No. 09/788,300

Request for continued examination under 37 CFR §1.114

Amendment under 37 CFR §1.111

REMARKS

Claims 9 and 15-46 remain pending in the application. Claims 9, 15, 16, and 19-46 have been amended. Reexamination and reconsideration of the application, as amended, are respectfully requested.

Applicants acknowledge allowance of Claims 9 and 15-46 in the Notice of Allowance dated 07/15/2004. In a case recently decided by the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (CAFC), however, certain language in one of the patents at issue, similar to language originally employed in some of the claims of the instant application, has been construed in a manner differing from that intended by the Applicants. It is not clear whether the CAFC claim construction is specific to the fact patterns of the decided case, or may be applied more generally. Accordingly, the claims in question have been amended so as to ensure that the claims will be construed in the manner originally intended by the Applicants.

The recently-decide case is Superguide Corporation v. Directv, Inc. (CAFC 02-1561, -1562, and -1594, decided 02/12/2004). At least within the context of Superguide, the Court has interpreted "at least one of" followed by a conjunctive list of items in the patent in suit (US 5038211) to mean at least one of each item in the list. In the instant application, the Applicants intended "at least one of" followed by such a list to mean at least one item from the list. It is presumed that this was the interpretation of the Examiner as well. Since the claim construction of the CAFC in Superguide may at least raise the possibility of a narrower claim construction than that intended by the Applicants, Claims 9, 15, 16, and 19-46 have been amended. In the amended claims, the conjunction "or" is to be construed inclusively (e.g., "a dog or a cat" would be interpreted as "a dog, or a cat, or both"; Bryan A. Garner, Elements of Legal Style p. 103, 2nd ed. 2002), unless: i) it is explicitly stated otherwise, e.g., by use of "either-or", "only one of", or similar language; or ii) two or more of the listed alternatives are mutually exclusive within the context of the claim, in which case "or" would encompass only those combinations involving non-mutually-exclusive alternatives. Applicants believe that this amendment does not change the scope of the amended claims from the scope originally intended. In particular, the amendments set forth herein are not

App. No. 09/788,300

Request for continued examination under 37 CFR §1.114 Amendment under 37 CFR §1.111

narrowing amendments, nor are the amendments made for reasons related to patentability.

In Claim 9, "first and second" has been deleted, leaving the phrase "the fiberoptic-taper segment of at least one of the transmission fiber optic waveguides". indicating the fiber-optic-taper segment of one or the other or both waveguides, as originally intended. In Claims 29, 30, 32-35, and 39, the phrase "at least one of" has been deleted, and the conjunction "and" replaced between "the first transmission optical waveguide" and "the second transmission optical waveguide" by the conjunction --or--. indicating one or the other or both waveguides, as originally intended. In Claims 15, 16, and 19-46, the phase "at least one of the first and second segments" has been replaced with -the first segment or the second segment--, indicating the first segment, the second segment, or both segments, as originally intended.

In view of the above, it is submitted that Claims 9 and 15-46 are still in condition for allowance. Reconsideration claims is respectfully requested. Allowance of Claims 9 and 15-46 at an early date is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

David S. Alavi 3762 West 11th Ave.

#408

Eugene, OR 97402

Reg. No. 40,310 541-686-9462 voice

800-853-6150 fax

dalavi@northwestpatent.com